





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/469,070	•	12/21/1999	HANNA E. WITZGALL	TI-23879	4488
23494	7590	06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
TEXAS II	NSTRUN	MENTS INCORPOR	ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I		
P O BOX 6	55474, M	1/S 3999			
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
. ,				2674	19
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- man						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/469,070	WITZGALL, HANNA E.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	arch 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-, /	, , ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(est sentence of the specification or existence of the specification of th	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/469,070

Art Unit: 2674

# Page 1

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see # 18, filed on 03/22/04 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-13 under U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Oida et al. (USPN 6232717), Johnson et al. (USPN 6252638)

Nakagaki et al. (USPN 6492065), and Kosaka et al. (USPN 5992320).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakayama et al. (USPN 6309073) in view of Oida et al. (USPN 6232717) and Johnson et al. (USPN 6252638).

In regard to claims 1, referring Fig. 1, Nakayama teaches a projection type display apparatus (1) including a light source (2), a color separating optical system (4) for separating a white beam (w) into color beams Red, blue and green (R, G, B), three liquid crystal panels 5R, 5G and 5B as light valves for modulating the color beams, a color synthesizing optical system

Art Unit: 2674

(6) for synthesizing the modulated color beams, and a projection lens (7) for magnifying and projecting the synthesized beam on to the screen (8). See col. 6, lines 18-34. Nakayama does not specifically teach the use of a spatial light modulator and a controller. Nakayama on the other hand teaches "switching control operations", which are performed according to the image information by certain drive means in the liquid crystal panels (5R, 5G, 5B) thereby modulating the color beams that pass through. See col. 7, lines 9-15.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Nakayama's light valves (5R, 5G 5B) and switching control operations for the purpose of modulating the color beams, and controlling the modulations respectively. One would have been motivated in view of Nakayama that the use light valves and switching control operations equivalently provide the desired spatial light modulations, and controlling to selectively modulate the light respectively.

Nakayama does not disclose "a color modulator comprised of a stack of at least two dielectric layers and at least three transparent electrodes, wherein a voltage applied to the electrodes limits the wavelengths of light permitted to continue on the light path". Oida teaches a first, second and a third color filter layers (4R, 4G and 4B) which are formed in correspondence to the first, the second and the third X electrodes, and are transparent to red light, green light and blue light respectively. Oida also teaches transparent dielectric layer (5) covering the X electrodes and the color filters, and a dielectric layer (14) covering Y electrodes. See Fig. 11, Fig. 12, col. 17, lines 52-67 and col. 18, lines 1-9

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nakayama's projection type display system to adapt Oida's color filtering with respect to X and Y electrodes as shown on Fig. 11. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Oida that the color filtering mechanism as configured in Figs. 11-12 is the same as the desired color modulator with two dielectric layers and three transparent electrodes layers. The use of color filtering helps achieve a display system better color fidelity as taught by Oida.

Nakayama does not teach a voltage applied to the electrodes limiting the wavelengths of light permitted to continue on the light path. Johnson on the other hand teaches light in the spectrum F, having a modulation state of polarization P.sub.m. and have its polarization state selectively altered depending upon the voltage applied to the modulator (10). See col. 7,lines 12-17.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nakayama's display system to adapt Johnson's technique of altering a polarization state of a light. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Johnson that selectively altering polarization state light resulting from a voltage application is functionally equivalent to limiting wavelengths of light resulting from voltage application. The use of altering polarization state of light helps function color a display system as taught by Johnson.

Regarding claim 2, Nakayama teaches the color synthesizing optical system (6) consisting of dichroic prism. As shown in Fig. 1, the light valves (5R, 5G, 5B) are located between an illumination optical system (2A) and projection lens (7). See col. 7, lines 49-55.

Application/Control Number: 09/469,070

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claim 3, Nakayama teaches a color synthesizing optical system (6) that is of a mirror composite having dichroic mirrors arranged in "X" shape. See col. 7, lines 49-55.

Regarding claim 4, Nakayama teaches three liquid crystal panels 5R, 5G and 5B as light valves for modulating the color beams, and a color synthesizing optical system (6) for synthesizing the modulated color beams. See col. 6, lines 27-30.

Regarding claim 5, Nakayama teaches projection type display system which includes the use of concave mirror (923) as shown in Fig. 15A.

Regarding claim 6, Nakayama discloses three liquid crystal panels (5R, 5G and 5B) functioning as light valves. See col.6, line 20-34.

3. Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakagaki et al. (USPN 6492065) in view of Kosaka et al. (USPN 5992320).

Regarding claims 7, 10 and 11, Nakagaki teaches a method of producing hologram color filter for diffracting and dispensing incident white light into lights of three primary colors of red, green and blue such that the method includes the use of a glass substrate and plurality of layers. See col. 2, lines 50-63, col. 7, lines 20-36 and Fig. 2. Nakagaki discloses a hologram color filter (20) with respect to an application of a voltage corresponding to a picture element between the picture element electrode layer 5 and transparent electrode layer 4 of the incident side. See col. 7, lines 50-60. However, Nakagaki does not teach alternating layers of electrodes and dielectric materials. Kosaka on the other hand teaches a first transfer sheet, which is electrode-forming

Art Unit: 2674

layer, and second transfer sheet, which is a dielectric forming layer. See col. 2, 18-21 and lines 57-62.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nakagaki's color display unit to adapt Kosaka's electrode forming layer and dielectric forming layer. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Kosaka that the electrode forming and dielectric forming layers can be utilized to achieve the desired "alternating layers of electrodes and dielectric materials". The use of electrode and dielectric forming layers helps form high precision patterns in the display system as taught by Kosaka.

Nakagaki further teaches a hologram color filter 1 comprises three layers of a hologram lens 1B for blue (B), a hologram lens 1G for green (G) and a hologram lens 1R for red (R), wherein white light emitted from a xenon lamp impinges upon the hologram lens 1B of the top layer of the hologram color filter 1 resulting B component being diffracted, then focused and impinges upon the space light modulating element 3 by an operation of the lens. Nakagaki also teaches the diffraction of each of the other two by similar fashion. See col. 1, lines 62-67, col. 2, lines 1-13 and Fig. 1 It would have been obvious to utilize hologram color filter described above with respect to Kosaka's dielectric forming layers in order to achieve "primary color beam of light alternating between three primary colors".

Regarding claims 8 and 12.Kosaka teaches a dielectric-forming layer with respect use of polymers or copolymers or other materials. See col. 13, line 53-65.

Regarding claims 9 and 13, Kosaka teaches pattern-wise electrode-forming layer on a glass substrate. See col. 34, lines 64-67.

Application/Control Number: 09/469,070 Page 6

Art Unit: 2674

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to applicant's disclosure.

The following arts are cited for further reference.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,025,898 to Kashiwazaki et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,306,509 to Takeuchi et al.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Abbas Abdulselam** whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

May 24, 2004

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER